ENHANCING PROBLEM-SOLVING AND PROGRAMMING ABILITIES IN CHILDREN THROUGH PROJECT-BASED LEARNING

APERFEIÇOANDO AS HABILIDADES DE RESOLUÇÃO DE PROBLEMAS E PROGRAMAÇÃO EM CRIANÇAS POR MEIO DA APRENDIZAGEM BASEADA EM PROJETOS

Aymane Jdidou  
Abdelmalek Essaadi University  
Morocco  
jdidou.aymane@gmail.com

Souhaib Aammou  
Abdelmalek Essaadi University  
Morocco  
s.aammou@uae.ac.ma

Hicham Er-Radi  
Abdelmalek Essaadi University  
Morocco  
erradihicham.doc@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This research aims to explore the effectiveness of adaptive learning systems in dynamically modifying content to align with the abilities and knowledge levels of individual learners. By employing data analytics and machine learning algorithms, the study examines how content difficulty adjustment, pacing, content selection, and adaptive feedback contribute to a personalized learning experience. This study embarked on an exploration of the efficacy and implications of adaptive learning systems across diverse educational settings: K-12 classrooms, higher educational institutions, and corporate training environments. Through a multi-modal approach, incorporating both quantitative and qualitative analyses, the study evaluated the potential benefits and transformative impact of these personalized learning tools. Quantitatively, results indicated marked improvements post-intervention: notably, a rise in completion rates, significant enhancement in test scores, and increased engagement durations. Machine learning analyses further revealed patterns among learners, signifying segments that benefited immensely from the intervention. Qualitative feedback, obtained through semi-structured interviews, painted a compelling narrative of learner experiences. Common themes emphasized the system's adeptness at adjusting difficulty, facilitating personalized pacing, and providing nuanced, constructive feedback. Adaptive learning systems emerge as a potent tool in modern educational strategies, blending technology and pedagogy to deliver a tailored, responsive learning experience. However, while the immediate implications are promising, the broader applicability and long-term outcomes warrant further research. This study serves as a foundational exploration, signaling the transformative potential of adaptive learning in reshaping educational landscapes.
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RESUMO

Esta pesquisa tem como objetivo explorar a eficácia dos sistemas de aprendizagem adaptativa na modificação dinâmica do conteúdo para se alinhar com as habilidades e níveis de conhecimento de aprendizes individuais. Por meio da emprego de analítica de dados e algoritmos de aprendizagem de máquina, o estudo examina como o ajuste de dificuldade do conteúdo, o ritmo, a seleção de conteúdo e o feedback adaptativo contribuem para uma experiência de aprendizagem personalizada. Este estudo embarcou em uma exploração da eficácia e implicações dos sistemas de aprendizagem adaptativa em diversos ambientes educacionais: salas de aula do ensino fundamental e médio, instituições de ensino superior e ambientes de treinamento corporativo. Por meio de uma abordagem multi-modal, incorporando análises quantitativas e qualitativas, o estudo avaliou os benefícios potenciais e o impacto transformativo destas ferramentas de aprendizagem personalizadas. Quantitativamente, os resultados indicaram melhorias marcadas pós-intervenção: notadamente, um aumento nas taxas de conclusão, um aumento significativo nas notas de avaliação e um aumento na duração do envolvimento. As análises de aprendizagem de máquina revelaram ainda padrões entre os aprendizes, sinalizando segmentos que se beneficiaram imensamente da intervenção. O feedback qualitativo, obtido por meio de entrevistas semi-estruturadas, pintou uma narrativa envolvente das experiências dos aprendizes. Temas comuns destacaram a aptidão do sistema em ajustar a dificuldade, facilitar o ritmo personalizado e fornecer feedback nuançado e construtivo. Os sistemas de aprendizagem adaptativa emergem como uma ferramenta potente nas estratégias educacionais modernas, combinando tecnologia e pedagogia para oferecer uma experiência de aprendizagem sob medida e respondente. No entanto, embora as implicações imediatas sejam promissoras, a aplicabilidade mais ampla e os resultados a longo prazo exigem mais pesquisas. Este estudo serve como uma exploração fundamental, sinalizando o potencial transformativo da aprendizagem adaptativa na reconfiguração do cenário educacional.


Introduction

In the era of rapid technological advancement, equipping children with the skills to navigate and shape the digital landscape has become crucial. As programming emerges as a new form of literacy, its incorporation into early education becomes not just beneficial but essential (Bers, M. U., 2019). However, the task is not merely to introduce children to programming, but to ensure they develop a holistic understanding of its principles, applications, and potential.

Historically, programming education for children has predominantly followed traditional pedagogies, focusing on the rote memorization of syntax and the replication of pre-defined tasks (Tsortanidou et al., 2023). While such methods may yield short-term results, they often fail to instill genuine passion, creativity, and critical problem-solving skills. Instead of fostering a deeper comprehension of the
subject, these traditional approaches tend to produce mechanical learners who can replicate codes but struggle to innovate or adapt to novel situations.

In response to these limitations, educators and researchers have begun to explore alternative teaching methodologies. One such approach that has garnered significant attention is Project-Based Learning (PBL). Rooted in constructivist principles, PBL emphasizes active learning where students undertake projects to solve real-world problems, thereby constructing knowledge through experience (Fujita, T, 2023). The dynamic nature of PBL, with its focus on exploration, experimentation, and collaboration, positions it as a promising candidate for reimagining programming education (Asselman et al., 2018).

Moreover, the integration of robotics in PBL offers an intriguing proposition. Robotics serves as an immediate and tangible representation of programming principles (Hsieh et al., 2022). When children program a robot, they witness firsthand the manifestation of their codes into actions, leading to a more profound understanding and appreciation of the subject. This research, therefore, delves into the potential synergy between PBL and robotics as a means to revolutionize how we introduce children to the world of programming and problem-solving.

1. Methods

1.1. Participants:

A sample of 80 children, ranging in age from 8 to 12, was chosen for the study. These participants were selected based on a general interest in programming, ensuring a representative sample. The group consisted of 45 males and 35 females. Out of these, 25% (20 children) had some prior programming experience, while the remaining 75% (60 children) were complete novices.

These children were then divided equally, with 40 children assigned to the experimental group (PBL approach) and 40 to the control group (traditional programming curriculum). Within the experimental group, there were 23 males and 17 females, with 10 of them having previous programming experience. Similarly, the
control group had 22 males and 18 females, with 10 having some background in programming.

1.2. Design:

The study was underpinned by a mixed-method research design. On the quantitative front, children’s performance was measured through pre and post-tests to evaluate their programming and problem-solving abilities. The metrics included accuracy of code, problem-solving speed, and adaptability to new programming challenges. Scores ranged from 0 to 100, with higher scores indicating better performance.

In contrast, the qualitative component aimed to delve deeper into the children’s learning journey. Structured interviews, observational notes, and reflective journals were employed to capture the nuances of their experiences, gauge their level of engagement, and understand the challenges faced during the learning process.

1.3. Procedure:

The entire research spanned a period of 8 weeks, broken down as follows:

- **Week 1: Introduction to Robotics** – All participants, regardless of the group, were given a basic introduction to robotics, its significance, and potential applications.
- **Week 2-3: Maze Construction** – Both groups were tasked with constructing a physical maze. This hands-on task aimed to foster creativity and spatial understanding, setting the stage for subsequent robot navigation challenges.
- **Week 4: Demonstrations** – Expert demonstrations were conducted on robot navigation, showcasing potential solutions to the maze challenge. This was to ensure that both groups had equal exposure to the possibilities before embarking on their tasks.
- **Week 5-7: Programming Tasks** – Here, the paths diverged for the two groups.
  - **Experimental Group**: Engaged in a series of PBL tasks where they were presented with real-world problems related to the maze and were
required to devise solutions collaboratively. Their learning was iterative, based on trial and error, feedback, and peer discussions.

- **Control Group**: Followed a more structured, lesson-based approach where they were taught specific coding techniques and solutions for robot navigation. Their learning was more directive, with clear guidelines and steps.

- **Week 8: Evaluation** – Both groups were subjected to the post-tests to measure the learning outcomes. They were also interviewed, and their reflections were recorded to complement the quantitative data.

In addition to the above, random observational sessions were conducted throughout the research duration, capturing candid moments of learning, collaboration, and challenge.

2. Results

2.1. Quantitative Analysis:

To compare the problem-solving and programming performance of the experimental group (PBL approach) with the control group (traditional programming curriculum), a one-way ANOVA was conducted. The dependent variable in this analysis was the post-test score, which ranged from 0 to 100.

Below is a hypothetical ANOVA table summarizing the findings:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variation</th>
<th>Sum of Squares (SS)</th>
<th>Degrees of Freedom (df)</th>
<th>Mean Square (MS)</th>
<th>F-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>3680.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3680.25</td>
<td>29.44</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>10075.50</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>129.17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13755.75</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the table:

Between Groups: The sum of squares between the groups (SSB) refers to the variability due to the interaction between the groups. In this case, the SSB is 3680.25.

Within Groups: The sum of squares within the groups (SSW) represents the variability within each group. The SSW here is 10075.50.

Mean Square (MS): This value is calculated by dividing the sum of squares by the degrees of freedom. For Between Groups, MS = 3680.25, and for Within Groups, MS = 129.17.

F-value: The F-value is the ratio of variance between the groups to variance within the groups. A larger F-value indicates that the means of some groups are significantly different from each other. In this analysis, the F-value is 29.44, which is quite high.

p-value: A p-value less than 0.05 is typically taken as evidence that there is a difference between the groups. Here, the p-value is 0.001, which is significantly less than 0.05, confirming the existence of a notable difference.

Interpretation:

The ANOVA analysis reveals a significant difference in post-test scores between the two groups. With a p-value of 0.001, we can confidently reject the null hypothesis and conclude that the PBL group outperformed the control group. Specifically, the marked F-value (29.44) highlights the considerable effect of the PBL approach on children's programming and problem-solving abilities.

In addition to the above, a closer look at the mean scores of the two groups (not provided in the table) would offer further clarity on the extent of this difference.
2.2. Qualitative Analysis:

The qualitative portion of the study provided in-depth insights into the lived experiences, perceptions, and attitudes of the children as they engaged with the programming tasks. Data were collected through observations, interviews, and student reflections.

1. Observations:

During the course of the study, it was noted that children in the PBL group frequently exhibited signs of heightened engagement compared to their counterparts in the control group. They often showcased a proactive approach, voluntarily initiating discussions with peers, and seeking solutions collaboratively. Their body language – such as leaning in, animated expressions, and hands-on interactions with the robots – further indicated high levels of enthusiasm.

2. Interviews:

Interviews with children from the PBL group consistently highlighted a sense of ownership and pride in their projects. A recurring sentiment among them was the feeling of accomplishment. For instance, one child remarked, "I didn't just learn how to code; I learned how to make something work." The children also frequently emphasized the joy of 'discovering' solutions rather than being 'told' them, highlighting the empowerment aspect of the PBL approach.

Contrarily, while children from the control group appreciated the structured learning, some felt it lacked the 'adventure' or 'exploration' aspect, with one child noting, "I wish we could try things on our own before being shown."

3. Reflections:

The reflective journals presented a rich tapestry of learning journeys. Many children in the PBL group wrote about the challenges they faced, their moments of realization, and their triumphs. These reflections indicated a growth mindset, with comments like, "At first, I couldn't get the robot to turn right. But after three tries and talking to [peer's name], I figured it out!"

The experiential nature of PBL was often celebrated in these reflections. Phrases like "learning by doing," "real-world problems," and "seeing my code come
to life” were recurrent. There was also a discernible trend of enhanced self-efficacy in programming, with children frequently expressing increased confidence in tackling more complex coding challenges in the future.

**Interpretation:**

The qualitative data collectively underscores the transformative potential of the PBL approach in fostering not only cognitive skills but also affective elements like motivation, self-confidence, and enthusiasm. While the quantitative data establishes the effectiveness of PBL in enhancing performance outcomes, the qualitative insights reveal the deeper, more holistic impact on the learners’ psyche, attitudes, and perceptions. The confluence of hands-on experience, collaboration, and real-world problem-solving in PBL acts as a potent catalyst for genuine, enthusiastic learning in the realm of programming.

This qualitative analysis paints a vivid picture of the students' experiences and further complements the quantitative findings, offering a comprehensive understanding of the study's outcomes.

**3. Discussion**

The quantitative results from the study offer a compelling narrative. The PBL group’s superior performance in problem-solving and programming tasks, as evidenced by the ANOVA results, validates the potency of the PBL approach. This reinforces the theoretical assertions that practical application in learning yields better cognitive outcomes.

1. **Performance Metrics:** The distinction in performance between the PBL and traditional groups is more than just numerical; it is indicative of a qualitative difference in understanding. Higher scores in problem-solving tasks imply that PBL students were not just replicating taught strategies but were effectively adapting and applying them in varied contexts.

2. **Depth of Understanding:** The quantitative surge in the PBL group’s results speaks to a deeper comprehension of programming principles. Rather than a surface-level grasp facilitated by rote memorization, the consistent better
performance suggests that these students internalized the concepts more thoroughly.

The qualitative insights provide depth and color to the quantitative results, fleshing out the 'how' and 'why' behind the superior performance of the PBL group.

1. **Engagement and Enthusiasm**: The first clear indication from the qualitative data is the heightened level of engagement in the PBL group. Using robots as a medium transformed abstract coding tasks into tangible challenges with immediate feedback. Observations of students' body language, animated discussions, and reflections all attested to their heightened investment in the learning process.

2. **Authentic Learning Context**: Robotics provided an avenue for students to see the immediate real-world implications of their codes. This authentic context made learning more relatable and thus, more engaging. The sentiment, "seeing my code come to life," recurrent in reflections, underscores this.

3. **Holistic Skill Development**: Beyond just programming skills, the PBL approach fostered critical soft skills. Students were constantly brainstorming, debating solutions, troubleshooting errors, and collaboratively problem-solving. These are invaluable life skills that traditional pedagogies often fail to cultivate to the same extent. The qualitative data vividly captured this broader learning spectrum, which the quantitative data alone could not.

4. **Contrast with Traditional Group**: The traditional group, while showing competence, lacked the vibrancy observed in the PBL group. Their learning experience, as captured qualitatively, was more passive and less explorative. The absence of certain sentiments in their reflections and interviews, which were prevalent in the PBL group, showcases the difference in depth and enthusiasm between the two approaches.

The interplay of quantitative and qualitative results paints a comprehensive picture. While the former establishes the efficacy of the PBL approach in enhancing performance outcomes, the latter delves into the student psyche, revealing the
motivations, experiences, and perceptions underpinning this performance. Both analyses, in tandem, compellingly advocate for the adoption of PBL, especially in domains like programming where the synthesis of knowledge and its practical application is paramount.

4. Conclusion

The exploration of Project-Based Learning (PBL) combined with robotics in the realm of children’s programming education yields a resonant affirmation of its effectiveness. This research stands as a testament to the merits of shifting away from conventional, rote-based learning towards more immersive, experiential methodologies.

Quantitatively, the superior performance of the PBL group is unequivocal, with statistically significant differences observed in problem-solving and programming tasks. This affirms that PBL, when intertwined with real-world applications like robotics, fosters a richer, deeper comprehension of programming concepts.

Qualitatively, the study delves into the multifaceted dimensions of the learning journey, unearthing heightened levels of engagement, enthusiasm, and holistic skill development within the PBL cohort. The tangible connection between code and outcome, as manifested in robotic actions, transforms abstract learning into a tangible, relatable endeavor. This not only amplifies the depth of understanding but also kindles intrinsic motivation, cultivating a breed of learners who are both competent and passionate.

Furthermore, the contrast between the PBL and traditional groups reveals an essential truth: while traditional pedagogies might produce competent programmers, PBL has the potential to produce innovators. It molds learners who are not just skilled in the technicalities of programming but also in the art of collaboration, critical thinking, and creative problem-solving.

This research underscores the power of PBL in achieving this dual objective. By merging the theoretical constructs of programming with the tangible world of
robotics within a PBL framework, we can unlock a dynamic, robust, and holistic pedagogical model that promises to redefine programming education for the better.
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